istics of Paradoxides are changing into those of Olenellus, the head and the first 14 segments being in all partieulars the type of Olenellas, and the pygidium and 10 posterior segments more the type of Paradoxides; the fifteenth segment represents the telson of Olencllus Thomp. soni.

Under remarks on the genus Olenellus, the relationships to that geuns are mentioned, and we will next consider the body of Mesonacis back of the fourteenth segment.

The fifteenth segment fits snugly up against the fourteenth; the axial Jobe is strong and supports the base of a long, slender spine that, as now preserved, extends back to the pysidium; the base of the spine originates on the dorsal surface of the segment and also extends back so as to include the posterior margin, and canses the latter to curve back towards the center; the lateral pleurae of the segment are short, and in their structure are diminutive representatives of the large pleure of the segmeuts anterior to the fifteenth.

The succeeding eleven posterior segments appear as though formed of a nore delicate test than the anterior portions of the body, as they are much more llattened and compressed than the latter and the phenral grooses are almost obsolete. The prgidium is also small and delicate.

The body back of the spine-bearing segment appears as though belonging to a different animal, and looks more like that of a liemoplenrides than either Olenellas or Paradoxides, but, on a close examination, the pygidium is found to be much like that of Peredorides ruyulosus, and the free plemre bend back as in that species. (Compare fig. 16 of plate xxiv with fig. 2 of same plate.)

Comparison with other genered and species.-The form of the head is similar to that of Olenellus Thompsoni, except that in the less compressed examples it is not proportionally as broad ; this may be also said of the entire body. The genal spines are more slender and the frontal glabellar lobe is closer to the anterior margin.

Among the described species of the gemus Olenelhis some specimens of the head of $O$. Gilberti approach very closely to that of Mesonacis Vermontana, and I was surprised when I found that the former did not prove to be generically or specilically related to the latter.

We do not find among the American species of Paradoxides forms to compare with either Olenellus or Mesonaicis, except in the outline of the posterior margin, as mentioned under the genus Olenellus. Europe gives one from Sweden, P. Kjerulfi,' the head of which shows other features common to Olenellus and Mesonacis, as mentioned under the description of the former genus.
In the contour of the adult form of the head, P. spinosus, P. Sacheri, ${ }^{2}$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ofversigt k. Svensk. Vet.-Akad. Förhand. N:o 6, p. 790. Tall. xvi, figs. 1, 2. Stockholm, $1 \times 71$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Syst. Sil. Bohème, vol. i, 18̄̈̌.

