crusade was accordingly started in Germany by philosophers, as well as by naturalists and biologists, against the vitalists-those who believed in a special principle of life; and an impression was created in the minds of thinking outsiders that a purely mechanical explanation of life and mind was finally decided on, and within possible reach. Among those who assisted in bringing about this im- 22. Lotze and pression, I need only single out two names-those of Du Bois-Hermann Lotze,<sup>1</sup> the philosopher of Göttingen, and of

<sup>1</sup> The position which Lotze occupies in the history of the conceptions of life or of vitalism is peculiar. If we read works dealing specially with the history of medicine, such as those of Haeser or Hirsch, we do not come across the name of Lotze at all, and it is only in quite recent times, fifty years after the appearance of Lotze's writings dealing with vitalism, that experts in physiology have reverted to his discussion of the subject. See notably the following: 1. Rauber, "Formbildung und Formstörung in der Entwickelung von Wirbelthieren" ('Morphol. Jahrbuch,' Band vi.), 1880. 2. Wilhelm Roux, "Einleitung zu den Beiträgen zur Entwickelungsme-chanik des Embryo," 1885 (reprinted in 'Gesammelte Abhandlungen,' vol. ii. p. 11, Leipzig, 1895). 3. O. Hertwig, 'Zeit und Streitfragen zur Biologie' (Heft 2, Jena, 1897), pp. 23-29. 4. Carl Hauptmann, Die Metaphysik in der modernen Physiologie' (Jena, 1894), p. 3. These and many other recent references go back to Lotze's article, "Leben und Lebenskraft," in Rud. Wagner's 'Handwörterbuch der Physiologie,' 1842; and to his larger publications, 'Allgemeine Pathologie und Therapie als mechanische Naturwissenschaften' (Leipzig, 1842), and 'Allgemeine Physi- (1846-53). Henle, as von Kölliker

ologie des Körperlichen Lebens' (Leipzig, 1867). The reasons why Lotze's expositions were so little regarded at the time were probably twofold. He taught that the phenomena of life constituted a mechanical problem. This was enough to dismiss in the eyes of many empirical naturalists the further, but not easily comprehended, statement of Lotze that life was not merely a mechanical problem. The definition and solution of the second part of the problem was much more difficult, aud Lotze delayed his expositions on this side of the question for ten years, when he published his 'Medicinische Psychologie oder Physiologie der Seele' (1852), which contained a metaphysical introduction apparently little in harmony with the supposed purely mechanical or even materialistic standpoint of his earlier writ-In the meantime several ings. important works had appeared which carried out in wider or narrower regions the purely mechanical or inductive and experimental treatment, and quite revolutionised physiological and medical studies. I need only mention such works as Jacob Henle's 'Allgemeine Anatomie' (1840), and his 'Hand-buch der rationellen Pathologie'